THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No.8976 of 2006

Dated: 04.07.2006

Between:

N. Gopi Manohar, S/o Radhakrishna Murthy, Clerk in Andhra Bank, Gurajala Branch, Gurazala, Guntur District.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Andhra Bank, Zonal Office, Guntur, rep. by its Deputy General manager, Guntur and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 8976 of 2006

Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner, who is working as Clerk in the respondents-Bank, has filed this writ petition assailing the order dated 29.12.2005, passed by the respondents-Bank, transferring him from Gurazala Branch to Guntur Main Branch on the ground of long standing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is blind and physically disabled person, and the respondents-Bank, contrary to the provisions of the Programmes and Concessions for the Disabled and the provisions of Persons with Disabilities Act, 1995, issued the impugned proceedings transferring him from Gurajala Branch to Guntur Main Branch.

On the other hand, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents-Bank, on instructions submits that the petitioner is working in Gurajala Branch since 22 years, and he is the senior-most employee, and taking into consideration of his long standing service in Gurajala Branch, he has been transferred.

Transfer is an incidence of service, and is made keeping in view the administrative exigencies and needs of the organization. It is not a punishment. This Court normally does not interfere in matters of transfer, unless it is shown that the transfer has been made on *mala fide* grounds or for extraneous reasons.

Be that as it may, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned transfer orders, he is at liberty to make appropriate representation seeking his retention at the present place, and if any such representation

is made, it is open for the respondents-Bank to consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law.

With the above observation, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 04.07.2006
Nsr/Ksr